

Koch, Kristine

From: King, Todd W. <KingTW@cdmsmith.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 04, 2014 2:08 PM
To: GAINER Tom <GAINER.Tom@deq.state.or.us> (GAINER.Tom@deq.state.or.us)
Cc: MCCLINCY Matt; PARRETT Kevin; poulsen.mike@deq.state.or.us; PETERSON Jenn L; Rood, Stephen; Gustavson, Karl; Blischke, Eric; Penoyar, Susan; Koch, Kristine; Humphrey, Chip
Subject: Response to 1/29/14 1:34 PM Email Re: Hot Spots
Attachments: HotSpotMaps_rev_PCB.pdf; HotSpotMaps_rev_PCB_012.pdf

Tom-

Attached are the revised figure and original figure for your review.

Please advise if changes. I think this catches us up. Maybe we can discuss next steps during TCT call tomorrow.

TK

Tom request excerpt...

... Additionally, since the risk-based highly concentrated hot spot concentration of 1 ug/kg is less than the background UPL of 12 ug/kg, as a practical matter we will not apply hot spots below background. I'm not sure how much surface sediment is below background in PH, but please provide a revised total PCB highly concentrated hot spot figure using 0.012 mg/kg instead of 0.001 mg/kg....

-----Original Message-----

From: Rood, Stephen
Sent: Tuesday, February 04, 2014 2:37 PM
To: King, Todd W.
Subject: Emailing: HotSpotMaps_rev_PCB.pdf, HotSpotMaps_rev_PCB_012.pdf

This is in response to Tom's request to use a hot spot value of 0.012 mg/kg instead of 0.001 mg/kg for PCBs. HotSpotMaps_rev_PCB.pdf is the old version with HotSpotMaps_rev_PCB_012.pdf being the new version.

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.